STATE OF CALIFORNIA

220.0493



STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

1020 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA (P.O. BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0001) * (916) 323-7715 WILLIAM M. BENNETT First District, Kentfield

CONWAY H. COLL.
Second District, Los Angeles

ERNEST J. DRONENBURG, JR. Third District, San Diego

PAUL CARPENTER Fourth District, Los Angeles

GRAY DAVIS Controller, Sacramento

CINDY RAMBO

December 7, 1988

Dear

This is in response to your October 14, 1988, letter concerning transfers of real property to D F, a family general partnership, on December 31, 1986, and January 28, 1988, and whether the transfers constituted changes in ownership for property tax reassessment purposes.

From the letter and my telephone conversation with Mr. C we understand that in 1986 D F was a family partnership which owned assets/personal property but no real and Mrs. V B each had a property. Mr. J. . B 40 percent interest in the partnership, and G . В son, had a 20 percent interest therein. On December 31, 1986, Mr. and Mrs. B transferred several parcels of real property , and Mr. G owned by them to D. F transferred several parcels of real property owned by him to . Per Mr. G В May 8, 1987, letter to you:

"Following the transfer of property, adjustments were made to the respective capital accounts commensurate with the net value of the property transferred. The value was determined on the basis of recent appraisals . . . Using this method, I calculate the capital accounts to be 76 % : 24%."

According to your letter, subsequent to the 1986 transfers,
Mr. J B's interest in D F was 34 percent and
Mrs. B's and Mr. G B's interests therein were each 33
percent.

Section 60 of the Revenue and Taxation Code (all section references contained herein are to the Revenue and Taxation Code) states that:

A "change in ownership" means a transfer of a present interest in real property, including the beneficial use thereof, the value of which is substantially equal to the value of the fee interest.

Section 61(i) states that the definition of a change in ownership includes:

The transfer of any interest in real property between a corporation, partnership, or other legal entity and a shareholder, partner, or any other person.

As section 61(i) indicates, a transfer of real property from an individual to a partnership is a change in ownership. In enacting section 61(i), the Legislature embraced the "separate entity theory", whereunder the general laws of the State endowing partnerships and other legal entities with an identity separate from their respective owners are respected. Thus, upon the transfer of real property from individuals to a partnership in which the individuals are partners, the partnership is considered the owner of the real property, not the individual partners. Accordingly, unless section 62(a)(2), hereinafter discussed, is applicable, Mr. and Mrs. J. 1986 transfers of real B's property owned by them individually to D 1986 transfers of real property owned by him Mr. G B'5 individually to D F constituted changes in ownership for property tax reassessment purposes.

As you are aware, section 62(a)(2) states, in pertinent part, that a change in ownership shall not include:

Any transfer between an individual or individuals and a legal entity or between legal entities, such as a cotenancy to a partnership, a partnership to a corporation, or a trust to a cotenancy, which results solely in a change in the method of holding title to the real property and in which proportional ownership interests of the transferors and transferees, whether represented by stock, partnership interest, or otherwise, in each and every piece of real property transferred, remain the same after the transfer.

transfers; and Mr. G B owned 100 percent of his properties prior to the transfers and 20 percent (or 24% or 33%) thereof through the partnership after the transfers. Thus, since the proportional ownership interests of Mr. and Mrs. J B and Mr. G B in the real property transferred did not remain the same after the transfers, section 62(a)(2) is not applicable thereto.

In his May 8, 1987, letter, Mr. Be contends that section 62(a)(2) is applicable because the proportionate adjustments to the capital accounts and the requirement that the accounts be brought to a zero balance before remaining assets are distributed insures that the transfers resulted solely in a change in the method of holding title to the real property. As indicated, however, proportionality in ownership interests is required and upon the transfers of the real property to the partnership, the By proportional interests therein changed; and bringing the capital accounts to a zero balance before distributing any remaining assets is not a relevant consideration for change in ownership purposes.

The same analysis would be applicable to subsequent transfers, and the January 28, 1988, transfers would constitute changes in ownership (section 61(i)) or not (section 62(a)(2)) depending upon whether the proportional ownership interests of the Bs and the partnership in each and every piece of real property transferred remained the same after the transfer(s).

Very truly yours,

J. Kenneth McManigal

Tax Counsel

JKM:cb

JKM:cb 1703D

bc: Mr. Richard H. Ochsner Mr. Robert H. Gustafson Mr. Verne Walton Legal Section